

Self Similar Solutions of the Evolution Equation of a Scalar Field in an Expanding Geometry

F. Braghin*, C. Martin and D. Vautherin

Division de Physique Théorique[†]

Institut de Physique Nucléaire, 91406, Orsay Cedex, France

Abstract: We consider the functional Schrödinger equation for a self interacting scalar field in an expanding geometry. By performing a time dependent scale transformation on the argument of the field we derive a functional Schrödinger equation whose hamiltonian is time independent but involves a time-odd term associated to a constraint on the expansion current. We study the mean field approximation to this equation and generalize in this case, for interacting fields, the solutions worked out by Bunch and Davies for free fields.

IPNO/TH 94-39

May 1994

*Doctoral fellow of Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior, Brasil

[†]Unité de Recherche des Universités Paris XI et Paris VI associée au CNRS

Several authors have pointed out that inflationary models based on effective potentials ignore the fact that the very concept of effective potential implies a static approximation which may be invalidated by dynamical effects [1]. The purpose of this present letter is to investigate this question using the functional Schrödinger equation for a scalar field in an expanding geometry:

$$i\hbar\partial_t\Phi[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{a^3(t)} \frac{\delta^2\Phi}{\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})} + [a(t)(\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}))^2 + a^3(t)(m_0^2\varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\lambda}{24}\varphi^4(\mathbf{x}))]\Phi \right\}. \quad (1)$$

In this equation $a(t) = \exp(\chi t)$ specifies the metric $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)d\mathbf{x}^2$ and χ is the expansion parameter (Hubble's constant). The constants m_0 and λ are respectively the bare mass of the field and the bare coupling constant. The evolution equation (1) was considered by Guth and Pi [2] and by Eboli, Jackiw and So-Young Pi [3]. In particular in reference [3] a numerical solution of this equation was attempted in the mean field approximation. However instabilities were found in the implementation of the method. Our aim in the present study is to perform a particular scale transformation on the wave functional which brings equation (1) into the form of a static functional Schrödinger equation. The method, although more elaborate, is reminiscent of the transformation used in nuclear physics to describe rotating nuclei [4].

Let us look for solutions of equation (1) in the form

$$\Phi[\varphi(\mathbf{x}), t] = \Psi[\xi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}), t] \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(\alpha)}}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\xi(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\alpha(t)\mathbf{x}), \quad (3)$$

and where $N(\alpha)$ is a normalisation factor. By using the definition of the functional derivative we first find

$$\delta\Phi = \int \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta\xi} \delta\varphi(\alpha\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta\xi(\mathbf{y}/\alpha)} \delta\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \alpha^{-3} d\mathbf{y}, \quad (4)$$

which allows one to express the functional derivative of Φ in terms of the functional derivative of Ψ as

$$\frac{\delta\Phi}{\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{1}{\alpha^3} \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta\xi(\mathbf{x}/\alpha)}. \quad (5)$$

By applying this formula twice we find that the kinetic energy of the field is

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \frac{\delta^2\Phi}{\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{1}{\alpha^3} \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{\delta^2\Psi}{\delta\xi(\mathbf{x})\delta\xi(\mathbf{x})}. \quad (6)$$

The transformation law for the mass term is easily derived from the relation

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha^3 \int d\mathbf{y} \varphi^2(\alpha\mathbf{y}) = \alpha^3 \int d\mathbf{y} \xi^2(\mathbf{y}), \quad (7)$$

while for the gradient term we have

$$\int d\mathbf{x} (\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}))^2 = \frac{\alpha^3}{\alpha^2} \int d\mathbf{y} (\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}\varphi(\alpha\mathbf{y}))^2 = \alpha \int d\mathbf{y} (\nabla\xi(\mathbf{y}))^2. \quad (8)$$

We still have to calculate the time derivative of the wave funtional Φ . Between t and $t + dt$ the change $\delta\Phi$ in Φ is obtained by combining the time change of Ψ and the change in $\alpha(t)$ with the result

$$\delta\Phi = \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}dt + \int \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta\xi(\mathbf{x})}\delta\xi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} - \frac{\delta N}{2N}\Psi. \quad (9)$$

Since the change in ξ is

$$\delta\xi(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\alpha\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}\delta\alpha) - \varphi(\alpha\mathbf{x}) = \xi(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\delta\alpha}{\alpha}\mathbf{x}) - \xi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\delta\alpha}{\alpha}\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla\xi, \quad (10)$$

we obtain

$$\partial_t\Phi = \partial_t\Psi + i\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{x}\pi(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla\xi\Psi - \frac{\dot{N}}{2N}\Psi. \quad (11)$$

The time derivative of $N(\alpha)$ is easily calculated in a similar way. The final result is

$$\partial_t\Phi = \partial_t\Psi + \frac{i}{2}\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{x}\{\pi(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla\xi + \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla\xi\pi(\mathbf{x})\}\Psi. \quad (12)$$

By choosing $\alpha(t) = 1/a(t) = \exp(-\chi t)$, we thus see that Φ is a solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (1) provided Ψ satisfies

$$i\partial_t\Psi[\xi] = H_\chi\Psi[\xi], \quad (13)$$

where H_χ is the time- independent hamiltonian

$$H_\chi = \int d\mathbf{x}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\xi(\mathbf{x})\delta\xi(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{(\nabla\xi)^2}{2} + m_0^2\xi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{24}\xi^4 + \frac{i\chi}{2}[\pi(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla\xi) + (\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla\xi)\pi(\mathbf{x})]\right\}. \quad (14)$$

The last term in the previous equation is static. However it corresponds to a constraint on the expectation value of the expansion current. Indeed in ordinary quantum mechanics of a single particle this operator would be similar to the operator $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}$.

The presence of this term illustrates the relevance of the discussion given in reference [1] about the importance of dynamical effects. Indeed, as will be shown below, this term affects the gap equation and may thus drive the system out of the symmetric or asymmetric phase.

In order to obtain a mean field approximation to the functional Schrodinger equation (13) we assume that at each time t the wave functional describing the system is a gaussian functional of the form:

$$\Psi[\xi, t] = \mathcal{N} \exp \left\{ i \int d\mathbf{x} \bar{\pi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \left(\xi(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right) \right\} \times \exp \left\{ - \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \left(\xi(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} G^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + i \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t) \right) \left(\xi(\mathbf{y}) - \bar{\xi}(\mathbf{y}, t) \right) \right\}, \quad (15)$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalization factor. Our trial functional contains four variational parameters: the center of the gaussian $\bar{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, its conjugate momentum $\bar{\pi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and the real and imaginary parts of its kernel: $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t)$ and $\Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, t)$.

The expectation value of the hamiltonian H_χ evaluated with the gaussian trial state Ψ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | H_\chi | \Psi \rangle = & \int d\mathbf{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\pi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \bar{\xi})^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_0^2 \bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{1}{24} \lambda \bar{\xi}^4 \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{4} G^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, t) + 4 (G \Sigma G)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, t) + \left(-\Delta + m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, t) \right) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, t) \right] \\ & \left. + \frac{\chi}{2} \left[\bar{\pi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \bar{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \bar{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{x} \bar{\pi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] - \chi \text{Trace} \{ \Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \nabla) G - G(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{x}) \Sigma \} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By varying the action [5] $\langle \Psi | i \partial_t - H_\chi | \Psi \rangle$ with respect to the variational parameters, we obtain the following dynamical equations :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t G &= 2(G \Sigma + \Sigma G) - \chi \{ (\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) G - G(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{x}) \}, \\ \partial_t \Sigma &= \frac{1}{8} G^{-2} - 2 \Sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{ -\Delta + m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \} \\ &\quad - \chi \{ (\Sigma \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) - \Sigma(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{x}) \} \\ \partial_t \bar{\xi} &= -\bar{\pi} + \chi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \bar{\xi}), \\ \partial_t \bar{\pi} &= \chi(\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \bar{\pi}) + \{ -\Delta + m_0^2 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda \bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \} \bar{\xi}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

To derive these expressions one has to remember that the cyclic invariance of the trace does not hold for pairs of operators such as $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{p}$.

The previous equations admit translationally invariant solutions of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\xi}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \bar{\xi}(t) , \\
\bar{\pi}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \bar{\pi}(t) , \\
G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} G(k) \exp(i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) , \\
\Sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} \Sigma(k) \exp(i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

provided that $\bar{\xi}$, $\bar{\pi}$, $G(k)$ and $\Sigma(k)$ satisfy :

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t G(k) &= 4G(k)\Sigma(k) - \chi\{(\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla_k)G(k) + 3G(k)\} , \\
\partial_t \Sigma(k) &= \frac{1}{8}G^{-2}(k) - 2\Sigma^2(k) + \frac{1}{2}\{\mathbf{k}^2 + m_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} G(k)\} - \chi\{3\Sigma(k) - \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla_k \Sigma(k)\} , \\
\partial_t \bar{\xi} &= -\bar{\pi} , \\
\partial_t \bar{\pi} &= \left(m_0^2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda\bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} G(k)\right) \bar{\xi} .
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Static solutions in the expanding frame correspond, after elimination of $\bar{\pi}$ and Σ to :

$$\left\{ m_0^2 + \frac{\lambda}{6}\bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k G(k) \right\} \bar{\xi} = 0 \tag{20}$$

and

$$G^{-1} k^2 \frac{d^2}{dk^2} G + G^{-1} k \frac{d}{dk} G - \frac{1}{2} G^{-2} k^2 \left(\frac{d}{dk} G \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\chi^2 G^2} + 2 \left(\frac{k^2}{\chi^2} - \nu^2 \right) = 0 , \tag{21}$$

where ν satisfies the self-consistent equation :

$$\nu^2 \chi^2 = \frac{9}{4} \chi^2 - m_0^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \bar{\xi}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} G(\mathbf{k}) . \tag{22}$$

Equation (21) is the differential equation for the square root of the modulus of the Hankel Function, and the most general solution has the form:

$$G(k) = \frac{\pi}{4\chi} \left| c_1 H_\nu^{(1)}(k/\chi) + c_2 H_\nu^{(2)}(k/\chi) \right|^2 \tag{23}$$

where $H^{(i)}$ are the first (i=1) and second (i=2) Hankel function of order ν , and the coefficients c_1 and c_2 obey the relation: $|c_1|^2 - |c_2|^2 = 1$, and are determined by the boundary conditions. If we impose that the asymptotic behavior be the same as in flat space-time we get $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 0$ [2].

Equation (22) will be referred to as the gap equation since it generalizes the equation obtained for the mass gap of a self interacting scalar field in mean field theory in Minkowski space. This

limiting case is obtained for $\chi = 0$. In the limit $\chi = 0$, ν is large and imaginary and behaves as im/χ where m is the mass gap at $\chi = 0$. Using the asymptotic formula [6]

$$\left| H_{iv}^{(1)}(\nu/\sinh\alpha) \right|^2 \simeq \frac{1}{\pi\nu\tanh\alpha} , \quad (24)$$

one obtains $G(k) = 1/2\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$ as expected.

Inserting the gap equation into the equation for the background field $\bar{\xi}$ we find that static solutions are determined by the two conditions :

$$\nu^2\chi^2 - \frac{9}{4}\chi^2 + m_0^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{\pi}{8\chi}\lambda\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} \left| H_{\nu}^{(1)} \right|^2 = 0 \quad (25)$$

and

$$(\nu^2\chi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3}\bar{\xi}^2 - \frac{9}{4}\chi^2) \bar{\xi} = 0 . \quad (26)$$

Before discussing the properties of these two equations it is worthwhile examining the structure of the associated solutions when they are rewritten in the laboratory frame. Denoting by $\tilde{G}$ the kernel of the gaussian in this frame we have $\tilde{G}(k) = e^{-3\chi t}G(ke^{-\chi t})$, i. e.

$$\tilde{G}(k, t) = e^{-3\chi t} \frac{\pi}{4\chi} \left| H_{\nu}^1(ke^{-\chi t}) \right|^2 . \quad (27)$$

For a free scalar field, ν is given by

$$\nu^2\chi^2 = \frac{9}{4}\chi^2 - m_0^2 \quad (28)$$

and the expression (27) is identical to the solution obtained by Bunch and Davies [8]. In our formalism this solution appears as a static solution in the expanding background geometry. However, our solution (27) holds also for the case of a self interacting scalar field. Different classes of solutions with broken or unbroken symmetry can be found.

As for the case $\chi = 0$ the gap equation contains divergent quantities and must be renormalized. In order to investigate this question let us introduce a momentum cutoff Λ . At large momentum, using the asymptotic form of Hankel functions, one finds that $G(k)$ behaves as

$$G(k) \simeq \frac{1}{2k} \left(1 - \frac{\chi^2 - 4\nu^2\chi^2}{8k^2} \right) , \quad (29)$$

so that the quantity G contains a quadratic and a logarithmic divergence when Λ goes to infinity

$$G(\nu^2\chi^2) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left\{ \Lambda^2 - \frac{\chi^2 - 4\nu^2\chi^2}{8} \log\left(\frac{16\Lambda^2}{e(\chi^2 - 4\nu^2\chi^2)}\right) \right\}. \quad (30)$$

The key to the renormalization of our variational calculation is the existence, for a given value of λ , of a critical value r_c of the ratio $r_0 = m_0^2/\Lambda^2$ beyond which there is no asymmetric solution of the gap equation [7]. For a large value of the momentum cutoff, the gap equation can indeed be written as

$$-\nu^2\chi^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{4} = \epsilon\mu^2 + \lambda_R\bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{\lambda_R}{32\pi^2}(-\nu^2\chi^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{4}) \log\left(\frac{\chi^2 - 4\nu^2\chi^2}{\mu^2}\right), \quad (31)$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ specifies whether r_0 is above or below r_c and where we have introduced the following quantities

$$\lambda_R = \frac{\lambda}{2 + \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} \log\left(\frac{4\Lambda^2}{e\mu^2}\right)}, \quad (32)$$

and

$$\epsilon\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{2\lambda_R}{\lambda}(r_0 + \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} - \frac{2\chi^2}{\Lambda^2}), \quad (33)$$

i.e.

$$\epsilon\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{2\lambda_R}{\lambda}(r_0 - r_c). \quad (34)$$

Keeping the ultraviolet cutoff Λ fixed, the approach to the critical point depends on the value of the expansion parameter χ .

Below r_c (i.e. $\epsilon = -1$) there is a solution with broken symmetry while for $r_0 > r_c$ there is only a symmetric solution [7]. For a given value of λ , approaching the critical point from the left the solution of the gap equation is

$$-\nu^2\chi^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{4} = \frac{4}{e} \Lambda^2 e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{\lambda}}. \quad (35)$$

We thus see that when Λ goes to infinity this solution remains finite provided that the bare coupling constant λ evolves as

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{M_R^2}\right), \quad (36)$$

where M_R is an arbitrary scale.

In conclusion, we have been able to find self similar solutions of the mean-field evolution equation for the fluctuations of a self interacting scalar field in an expanding geometry. This has been possible in the particular case of a de Sitter geometry for which the expansion rate $\dot{a}/a$ is a constant. In the case of a radiation dominated univers for which $a(t) = \sqrt{t}$, this type of solutions can not longer be found. However, in this case one has $\dot{a}/a = 1/2t$ and the formalism we have described can be used to make predictions about the long time behaviour.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Alain Comtet for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] D. Boyanovski, H. J. de Vega and R. Holman Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 2769
- [2] A. H. Guth and S. Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985) 1899
- [3] O. Eboli, R. Jackiw and So Young Pi, Phys. Rev. **D37** (1988) 3557
- [4] D. J. Thouless and J. G. Valatin, Nucl. Phys. **31** (1962) 211
- [5] R. Jackiw and A. K. Kerman, Phys. Lett. **A 71** (1979), 168
- [6] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical functions, Dover, New York, 1965
- [7] A. K. Kerman, C. Martin and D. Vautherin Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 632
- [8] T. S. Bunch and P. C. Davies, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **A360** (1978) 117